	Case 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP Docume	ent 9 Filed 06/09/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AIAI QI,	Case No. 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On April 5, 2023, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint. ECF No. 5.	
18	On April 26, 2023, after plaintiff failed to respond to that motion, the court ordered plaintiff to	
19	show cause why sanctions should not issue for failure to file an opposition or statement of non-	
20	opposition to defendants' motion. ECF No. 7. In response to that order, plaintiff has filed a	
21	motion that asks the court to "excuse the delay and accept the late filed Opposition." ECF No. 8	
22	at 2.	
23	Given that plaintiff has yet to file an opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss, her	
24	motion is construed as one seeking an extension of time to file an opposition to defendants'	
25	motion. So construed, plaintiff's motion will be granted.	
26	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
27	1. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file an opposition to defendants' motion	
28	to dismiss, ECF No. 8, is granted.	
		1

2. The June 15, 2023 hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss is continued to July 13, 2023. 3. Plaintiff shall file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion by no later than June 30, 2023. 4. Defendants may file a reply to plaintiff's opposition, if any, no later than July 7, 2023. 5. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to comply with local rules. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 9, 2023 JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:23-cv-00377-DAD-JDP Document 9 Filed 06/09/23 Page 2 of 2